

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/461,158	12/14/1999	ANNE E. MILLER	042390.P6958	3401 19
Blakeley Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman llp Raymond J Werner 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, KIN CHAN	
7TH Floor Los Angeles, CA	90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{A}							
	Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)	(D)			
•	09/461,158	М	LLER ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit	 			
	Kin-Chan Chen	179	65	1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely fi imum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the m become ABANDONED (35	led be considered timely. nailing date of this commun 5 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	ment.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •			·			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTo Notice of Informal Pater Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/461,158

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s).

In claim 11, line 3, " a sulfate getter" is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farkas et al. (US 6,001,730; hereinafter "Farkas") in view of Kaufman et al. (US 6,063,306; hereinafter "Kaufman") and Cadien et al. (US 6,340,370; hereinafter "Cadien").

Farkas discloses a CMP slurry for polishing copper interconnects. A silicon substrate is provided. A dielectric layer may be formed over the substrate. A trench is

· >

Application/Control Number: 09/461,158

Ärt Unit: 1765

formed through the dielectric layer. A copper diffusion barrier layer may be formed in the trenches. Copper may be deposited over the barrier layer and over the top of the dielectric (col. 4, lines 42-61). The slurry has a pH of 9.0 to 11.0. The slurry contains 1.0% to 12% of silica abrasive (col. 7, lines 31-40). Farkas teaches that the second slurry may polish both barrier and copper (col. 7, lines 45-50).

Farkas dos not explicitly state that a corrosion inhibitor (e.g., benzotriazole) may be added to the composition for polishing copper. In a method of CMP for copper, Kaufman teaches that using benzotriazole in CMP composition for polishing copper would form dissolution inhibiting layers on the surface of the copper. Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to add benzotriazole of Kaufman in the method of Farkas so as to form dissolution inhibiting layers on the surface of the copper.

Farkas and Kaufman teach using various oxidizing agent (such as the oxidizing agent contains $S_2O_8^-$ for copper polishing). Farkas and Kaufman do not disclose that oxidizer may include $Fe(CN)_6^{-3}$. In a method of slurry for CMP for metal polishing, Cadien teaches that oxidizer contains $Fe(CN)_6^{-3}$ is a good oxidizer for copper polishing. Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use the oxidizer contains $Fe(CN)_6^{-3}$ as disclosed by Cadien in the process of the combined Farkas and Kaufman because Cadien teaches that it is a good oxidizer for copper polishing.

The above-cited claims differ from the combined prior art by using various processing parameters (such as down force of the polishing pad in claims). However,

Application/Control Number: 09/461,158

Art Unit: 1765

Ĭ

they are commonly determined by routine experiment. The process of conducting routine optimizations so as to produce an expected result is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In the absence of showing criticality, it is the examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention would have found it obvious to modify the combined prior art by performing routine experiments to obtain optimal result.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2934.

September 15, 2003

Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765 Page 4